

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE  
DATED THIS THE 16 TH DAY OF JUNE 1998 ✓

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA ✓

WRIT PETITION NO. 12073 OF 1992 ✓

BETWEEN:

Vidwan Ramakrishna Parameshwara,

Bailakeri S/o

Late Parameswara Bailakeri,

51 years,

SAHA PRADHYAPAKA,

Sri. Medha Dakshinamurthy,

Veda Brahma Vidyalaya Samskrita,

College, Gokarna,

Uttara Kannada District.

.. Petitioner.

(By Sri. H.S. Jois. Adv., for petr. ) ✓

AND:

1. The State of Karnataka repre-  
sented by its Secretary,  
Education Department,  
M.S. Building,  
Bangalore-1.

2. The Commissioner for public  
Instruction in Karnataka,  
Brupathunga Road, Bangalore-2.
3. The Management of Sri. Medha  
Dakshinamurthy Veda Brahma  
Vidyalaya Samskrita College,  
Gokarna, Uttara Kannada Dist,  
represented by its Secretary,  
the Principal.

... Respondents.

(By Smt. Bharathi Nagesh. AGA, for R1 &2.)

\* \* \*

Writ petition filed under Article  
226 of the Constitution of India with an  
affidavit praying to, direct the respondents  
to take expeditious action to fill the post  
of Principal of R-3 Institution by promo-  
tion of the petitioner w.e.f. 1-3-1992,  
and granting to him all the consequential  
benefits etc.,

...3

Writ petition coming on for hearing,  
this day the Court made the following:-

O R D E R


This case is covered by Division Bench Judgment of this Court in W.A.No. 1833-36/95 and connected matters (D.D. 30-5-980, the writ petition is dismissed with a liberty to the petitioner to avail alternative remedy provided under the Karnataka Education Act, 1983 by initiating appropriate proceedings, if delay in initiating such proceedings, petitioner can file an application seeking condonation of delay. Pendency of the writ petition can be considered for condonation of delay in initiating proceedings. With the above said observations, writ petition is dismissed.

Sd/- JUDGE

RR  
r.by: *[Signature]* 21/8/98  
c.by: *[Signature]*

*[Signature]*

*[Signature]* 21/8/98



1. The first part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

2. The second part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

3. The third part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

4. The fourth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

5. The fifth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

6. The sixth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

7. The seventh part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

8. The eighth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

9. The ninth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.

10. The tenth part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who have been appointed to the various offices of the city.